



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/910,131	07/20/2001	Matthew Zavracky	0717.1086-011	1720	
21005 7	7590 09/24/2002				
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.			EXAMINER		
530 VIRGINIA P.O. BOX 913		NGUYEN, FRANCIS N			
CONCORD, M	1A 01742-9133	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2674		
		DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_					l.			
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/910,131		ZAVRACKY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		FRANCIS I		2674				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the d	cover sheet with the c	correspondence address -	-			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ind patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event within the statuto will apply and will cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ntion.			
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is n	on-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the	ance except i Ex parte Qua	for formal matters, p ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the medi 153 O.G. 213.	ts is			
·	on of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application.							
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are rejected. ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election rec	quirement					
	on Papers		quironionic.					
9)[] :	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10) 🗌 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) o	bjected to by the Exa	miner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)☐ app	oroved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Offic	ce action.					
12) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* 9	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT R	lule 17.2(a)).	•				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		•		ation).			
) The translation of the foreign language proacks The translation of the foreign language pro				,			
Attachmen		F		, and 01 121.				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>3</u> .	5		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/910,131

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-46 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-7 of U. S. Patent No. 6,452,572 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: communication device with color sequencing circuitry for display driving.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Conclusion

3. The prior art of record is not relied upon, but pertinent to Applicant's disclosure:

US Patent Bertram et al. 6,008,810

US Patent Tsoi 5,633,912

Art Unit: 2674

Reference Tsoi is cited because it discloses a mobile telephone with static visual display.

Reference Bertram et al. is cited because it discloses a mobile client system with LCD display.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANCIS N NGUYEN whose telephone number is 703 308-8858. The examiner can normally be reached during hours 8:00 AM- 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **RICHARD A HJERPE** can be reached at 703 305-4579.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

FRANCIS N NGUYEN Examiner

Art Unit 2674

1/8/02